

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia	a 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/530,388	01	7/06/2000	WOLFGANG STELZIG	10191/1355 7376		
26646	7590	11/01/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON		HARPER, KEVIN C				
ONE BROAL		004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
- · <u>-</u> · ·	,			2666		
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/530,388	,	STELZIG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Kevin C. Ha	-	2666			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress		
THE - Extended - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THIS COMMUNICATION PRINTS OF THIS COMMU	N. 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statute od will apply and will tute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	August 2005.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is no	n-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 10-22 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withde Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10-12 and 16-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 13,14 and 19-22 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cons					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b)] objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	` ,			
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been ents have been riority documen eau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National S	Stage		
Attachmen	• •						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Dail Notice of Informal Pail Other:		152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/530,388 Page 2

Art Unit: 2666

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed August 10, 2005 with respect to the Lau reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of Mosebrook et al.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to Hayashi for claims 11 and 16-17 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that Hayashi does not disclose a direction vector for indicating a sequence of a first direction. However, the direction vector of the packet (fig. 10, item 12f) indicates the sequence of relay stations that will be used to transmit a packet in a direction from a source to a destination (col. 9, line 63 through col. 10, line 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 10-12 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi (US 5,907,540) in view of Mosebrook et al. (US 5,848,054).

Regarding claims 10 and 12, Hayashi discloses a bus station (fig. 1, items A-E) for exchanging with other bus stations communications including a data packet (fig. 7) and transmission information (fig. 10, item 13d and 13e). The bus station stores position information in relation to a sequence of bus stations (fig. 12, step 806; col. 11, lines 35-41) and forwards a received data packet (col. 12, lines 35-42). However, Hayashi does not disclose determining a time slot belonging to the bus station based on position information and transmitting the packet in the next time slot belonging to the bus station. Mosebrook discloses bus stations that

Application/Control Number: 09/530,388 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

determine an appropriate time slot for transmission based on position (fig. 1; col. 7, lines 3-9; col. 26, lines 48-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made for a bus station to determine an appropriate time period for communication in the invention of Hayashi in order to ensure that data is properly transmitted to a bus station device (Mosebrook, abstract, last six lines).

4. Regarding claims 11 and 16-17, in Hayashi a direction vector indicates a sequence that will be run from a source to a destination (fig. 10, item 12f - RSAF; col. 9, lines 5-16; col. 9, line 63 through col. 10, line 2; col. 10, lines 3-5 and 10-13).

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Mosebrook as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Faber (US 5,369,745).

5. Regarding claim 18, Hayashi in view of Mosebrook does not disclose selecting a packet for transmission based on a shorter remaining transit time. Faber discloses selecting a packet for transmission based on remaining transit time (col. 3, lines 40-45) where the unselected packet is not transmitted (processed). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit a packet with the shorter remaining transit time in the invention of Hayashi in view of Mosebrook in order to reduce congestion within the network by transmitting the data packet which will reach its destination soonest (Faber, col. 1, lines 18-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13-15 and 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2666

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

October 31, 2005

SEEMA S. RAO 10/31/0!
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600